543 257

UNITED STATES DISCRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN SOUTHERN DIVISION

KENNETH EID,

Plaintiff,

VS.

SAINT-GOBAIN ABRASIVES, INC.,

Defendant.

SOMMERS SCHWARTZ, P.C. GERALD D. WAHL (P26511) Attorney for Plaintiff 2000 Town Center, Suite 900 Southfield, Michigan 48075-1100 (248) 746-4041

Case: 2:06-cv-12392 Assigned To: Zatkoff, Lawrence P Referral Judge: Pepe, Steven D Filed: 05-26-2006 At 11:20 AM CMP KENNETHH EID V. SAINT GOBAIN AB RASIVES INC (DA)

COMPLAINT AND DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

NOW COMES the Plaintiff, KENNETH EID, by and through his counset, SOMMERS, SCHWARTZ, P.C., in order to complain against Defendant, SAINT-GOBAIN ABRASIVES, INC., for the following reasons:

COMMON ALLEGATIONS

- That Plaintiff is a resident of Novi, Oakland County, Michigan, and is an adult citizen of 1. the United States.
- 2. That Defendant, SAINT-GOBAIN ABRASIVES, INC., is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of Massachusetts, with its principal place of business in Massachusetts, and is generally involved in the design, manufacture and sale of various industrial

LAW OFFICES
SOMMERS SCHWARTZ, P.C.
2000 TOWN CENTER • SUITE 900 • SOUTHFIELD. MICHIGAN 48075 • (248) 355-0300

abrasive products and was the employer of the Plaintiff within the meaning of the statutes described herein.

- 3. That jurisdiction and venue is appropriate to this Court as to those counts stating a federal basis for jurisdiction (Plaintiff having filed charges of discrimination with the EEOC within 180 days of the alleged discriminatory acts, and a Right-to-Sue letter being issued within the last 90 days); and diversity jurisdiction is claimed as to those state-related claims, there being in excess of \$75,000 in controversy as to each state Count, exclusive of costs and fees, Plaintiff is a resident of the State of Michigan, and Defendant residing in a state other than Michigan.
- 4. Plaintiff's date of birth is June, 1958; his race, national origin and ethnicity is Arab-American.
- Plaintiff began his employment with the Defendant and its corporate predecessors on or about August 24, 1981, was always involved in field sales, having achieved the position of Scnior Account Manager for Defendant.
- 6. Plaintiff's sales were consistently high throughout his employment with Defendant, most notably exceeding his 2004 sales by 19% at the time of his termination, well ahead of other comparable sales employees (regional sales comparables averaged negative 3% in comparison to prior year), and had carned significant incentive bonuses as a result of his sales performance.
- 7. Defendant's stated reason for Plaintiff's discharge on or about September 6, 2005, was pretextual in order to mask illegal discrimination based on age, race, national origin, and ethnicity, i.e., Arab-American.
- Beginning in January 2004, Plaintiff began reporting to a new Regional Manager, Pat
 Parker.

- 9. Mr. Parker repeatedly referenced, in a negative fashion, Plaintiff's ethnicity, at least in the following particulars:
 - a. March 31 and/or April 1, 2004 Parker, at a business meeting, announced that everyone should keep their distance at airports when traveling with Plaintiff;
 - b. June 8, and/or June 9, 2004 Parker made the same remarks, again, at a business meeting;
 - c. August/September, 2004 Parker told Plaintiff he was a "minority" and people of Plaintiff's ethnic background were beneficiaries of quotas and preferential treatment, expressed resentment he (Parker) could not obtain that same preferential treatment because of his status as a Caucasian American;
 - d. December 2, 2004 Parker pointedly told Plaintiff that if he had his way he'd deport every Arab out of the country, aggressively and with hostility, stating: "What do you think of that?", all stated while at a business meeting in front of both customers and other sales employees.
- 10. Plaintiff complained of these statements in meetings with Kip Patterson from Human Resources on or about February 23, 2005, resulting in Parker telling Plaintiff how upset he was that he had been accused of "being a racist," and again, cross-examining Plaintiff as to his ethnic and religious background.
- 11. Throughout 2005, Parker engaged in a campaign of character assassination by making statements to customers, such as "we're going to get rid of him," and attempts to solicit from customers negative comments regarding the Plaintiff.
- 12. Patterson, for his part, in spite of acknowledgement by Parker that he made such statements (witnessed by Patterson's report to Plaintiff that Parker had meant them in a "joking" fashion), pressed Plaintiff for witnesses to the statements, knowing Plaintiff would not disclose the names of each witness for fear of getting them in trouble at a time when said witnesses would not add any information to the acknowledgement by Parker of having made the statements.

- 13. Plaintiff was terminated, and although not directly replaced in his area, Defendant added salesmen in the western area of Michigan in order to allow coverage for Plaintiff's former area by hiring a new employee some twenty (20) years Plaintiff's junior, determining factors being the ages of Plaintiff and his replacement.
 - 14. Plaintiff worked under an incentive compensation system.
- 15. On September 1, 2005, Plaintiff was told by Defendant's executive, David Ross, Plaintiff had earned \$27,085 in commissions which to-date, have gone unpaid in spite of demand.
- 16. Plaintiff is entitled to the incentive commissions he had carned by virtue of his sales in 2005.
- 17. Defendant terminated Plaintiff's employment due to his ethnicity (race and national origin), and his having complained of discriminatory treatment.

COUNT 1 – 42 USC §1981 – ETHNIC DISCRIMINATION

- 18. Plaintiff incorporates herein by reference paragraphs 1 through 17 of the Common Allegations as though fully restated herein.
- 19. A determining factor in the decision to terminate Plaintiff's employment was his race/ethnicity, Arab-American.
- 20. Defendant acted in willful disregard of Plaintiff's federally protected rights, and were otherwise willful within the meaning of the statute.
- 21. As a consequence of Defendant's illegal acts as aforestated, Plaintiff has suffered economic losses and injury.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for judgment against Defendant that is both fair and equitable, reinstatement, punitive damages in an amount to be determined by the jury, interest, costs and attorneys' fees.

COUNT II - TITLE VII - RACE DISCRIMINATION

- 22. Plaintiff incorporates herein by reference paragraphs 1 through 17 of the Common Allegations and Paragraphs 19 through 21 of Count I as though fully restated herein.
- 23. A determining factor in the decision to terminate Plaintiff's employment was his race, Arab-American.
- 24. Defendant acted in willful disregard of Plaintiff's federally protected rights, and were otherwise willful within the meaning of the statute.
- 25. As a consequence of Defendant's illegal acts as aforestated, Plaintiff has suffered economic losses and injury.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for judgment against Defendant that is both fair and equitable, reinstatement, punitive damages in the amount of \$300,000, interest, costs and attorneys' fees.

COUNT III - MICHIGAN ELLIOTT-LARSEN CIVIL RIGHTS ACT - RACE DISCRIMINATION

- 26. Plaintiff incorporates herein by reference paragraphs 1 through 17 of the Common Allegations as though fully restated herein.
- 27. A determining factor in the decision to terminate Plaintiff's employment was his race, Arab-American.
- 28. As a consequence of Defendant's illegal acts as afore-stated, the Plaintiff has suffered economic losses and injury.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for judgment against Defendant that is both fair and equitable, reinstatement, money damages in excess of \$75,000 plus interest thereon, costs and attorneys' fees.

COUNT IV - NATIONAL ORIGIN DISCRIMINATION-TITLE VII

29. Plaintiff incorporates herein by reference paragraphs 1 through 17 of the Common Allegations and Paragraphs 19 through 21 of Count I as though fully restated herein.

- 30. A determining factor in the decision to terminate Plaintiff's employment was his national origin, Arab-American.
- 31. Defendant acted in willful disregard of Plaintiff's federally protected rights, and were otherwise willful within the meaning of the statute.
- 32. As a consequence of Defendant's illegal acts as aforestated, Plaintiff has suffered economic losses and injury.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for judgment against Defendant that is both fair and equitable, reinstatement, punitive damages in the amount of \$300,000, interest, costs and attorneys' fees.

COUNT V - NATIONAL ORIGIN DISCRIMINATION - MICHIGAN ELLIOTT-LARSEN CIVIL RIGHTS ACT

- 33. Plaintiff incorporates herein by reference paragraphs 1 through 17 of the Common Allegations as though fully restated herein.
- 34. A determining factor in the decision to terminate Plaintiff's employment was his national origin, Arab-American.
- 35. As a consequence of Defendant's illegal acts as afore-stated, the Plaintiff has suffered economic losses and injury.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for judgment against Defendant that is both fair and equitable, reinstatement, money damages in excess of \$75,000 plus interest thereon, costs and attorneys' fees.

COUNT VI - RETALIATION 42 USC §1981

- 36. Plaintiff incorporates herein by reference paragraphs 1 through 17 of the Common Allegations as though fully restated herein.
- 37. A determining factor in the decision to terminate Plaintiff's employment was retaliation for his having complained of race discrimination.

- 38. Defendant acted in willful disregard of Plaintiff's federally protected rights, and were otherwise willful within the meaning of the statute.
- 39. As a consequence of Defendant's illegal acts as aforestated, Plaintiff has suffered economic losses and injury.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for judgment against Defendant that is both fair and equitable, reinstatement, punitive damages in an amount to be determined by the jury, interest, costs and attorneys' fees.

COUNT VII – TITLE VII RETALIATION

- 40. Plaintiff incorporates herein by reference paragraphs 1 through 17 of the Common Allegations as though fully restated herein.
- 41. A determining factor in the decision to terminate Plaintiff's employment was for his having complained of discrimination.
- 42. Defendant acted in willful disregard of Plaintiff's federally protected rights, and were otherwise willful within the meaning of the statute.
- 43. As a consequence of Defendant's illegal acts as aforestated, Plaintiff has suffered economic losses and injury.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for judgment against Defendant that is both fair and equitable, reinstatement, punitive damages in the amount of \$300,000, interest, costs and attorneys' fees.

COUNT VIII – RETALIATION UNDER MICHIGAN ELLIOTT-LARSEN CIVIL RIGHTS ACT

- 44. Plaintiff incorporates herein by reference paragraphs 1 through 17 of the Common Allegations as though fully restated herein.
- 45. A determining factor in the decision to terminate Plaintiff's employment was his having complained of race discrimination.

46. As a consequence of Defendant's illegal acts as afore-stated, the Plaintiff has suffered economic losses and injury.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for judgment against Defendant that is both fair and equitable, reinstatement, money damages in excess of \$75,000 plus interest thereon, costs and attorneys' fees.

COUNT IX- AGE DISCRIMINATION/ADEA

- 47. Plaintiff incorporates herein by reference paragraphs 1 through 17 of the Common Allegations as though fully restated herein.
 - 48. A determining factor in the decision to terminate Plaintiff's employment was his age.
- 49. As a consequence of Defendant's illegal acts as afore-stated, the Plaintiff has suffered economic loss.
 - 50. Defendant's actions were willful within the meaning of 29 USC §621 et seq.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for judgment against Defendant that is fair and equitable, reinstatement, money damages, liquidated damages, interest, costs and attorneys' fees.

COUNT X- AGE DISCRIMINATION- ELCRA

- 51. Plaintiff incorporates herein by reference paragraphs 1 through 17 of the Common Allegations as though fully restated herein.
 - 52. A determining factor in the decision to terminate Plaintiff's employment was his age.
- 53. As a consequence of Defendant's illegal acts as afore-stated, the Plaintiff has suffered economic losses and injury.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for judgment against Defendant that is both fair and equitable, reinstatement, money damages in excess of \$75,000 plus interest thereon, costs and attorneys' fees.

COUNT XI - BREACH OF CONTRACT

- 54. Plaintiff incorporates herein by reference paragraphs 1 through 17 of the Common Allegations as though fully restated herein.
- 55. Defendant breached its incentive bonus contract with Plaintiff, proximately causing him economic losses.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for judgment against Defendant that is both fair and equitable, money damages in excess of \$75,000 plus interest thereon, costs and attorneys' fees.

<u>COUNT XII – UNJUST ENRICHMENT</u>

- 56. Plaintiff incorporates herein by reference paragraphs 1 through 17 of the Common Allegations as though fully restated herein.
- 57. Defendant has unjustly enriched itself by the retention of the incentive bonus it should have paid to Plaintiff.
- 58. Defendant's unjust enrichment of itself has proximately caused Plaintiff economic loss and injury.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for judgment against Defendant that is both fair and equitable, money damages in excess of \$75,000 plus interest thereon, costs and attorneys' fees.

COUNT XIII – QUANTUM MERUIT

- 59. Plaintiff incorporates herein by reference paragraphs 1 through 17 of the Common Allegations.
- 60. Plaintiff is entitled to the value of his services, having brought lucrative business opportunities to Defendant.
- 61. Defendant has wholly failed to pay Plaintiff the value of his services thereby proximately causing his economic losses.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for judgment against Defendant that is both fair and equitable, money damages in excess of \$75,000 plus interest thereon, costs and attorneys' fees.

DEMAND FOR TRIAL BY JURY IS HEREBY MADE

SOMMERS SCHWARTZ, P.C.

GERALD D. WAIIL (P26511)

Attorneys for Plaintiff

2000 Town Center, Suite 900 Southfield, MI 48075-1100

(248) 746-4041

Dated: May 26, 2006

2:06-cv-12392-LPZ-SDP Doc # 1 Filed 05/26/06 Pg 11 of 12 Pg ID 11

CIVIL COVER SHEET County in which this action arose BAKLAND 18-44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the fudicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON THE REVERSE OF THE FORM.) DEFENDANTS L (a) PLAINTIFFS SAINT-GOBAIN ABRASIVES, INC. KENNETH EID County Of Residence Of First Listed Defendant (b) County Of Residence Of First Listed Plaintiff ZINCLES DE AINTIFE CASES ONLY) (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF QASES) Case: 2:06-cv-12392 (c) Attorney's (Firm Name, Address And Telephone Number) Assigned To: Zatkoff, Lawrence P Referral Judge: Pepe, Steven D GERALD D. WATIL (P26511) 2000 TOWN CENTER, SUITE 900 Filed: 05-26-2006 At 11:20 AM CMP KENNETHH EID V. SAINT GOBAIN AB SOUTHFIELD, MI 48075 RASIVES INC (DA) 248-355-0300 aintiff III, CIL II. BASIS OF JURISDICTION (Place An "X" In One Box Only) and One Box For Defendant) (For Diversity Cases Only) PTF DEF PTF DEF Incorporated or Principal Place □ 4 Citizen of This State 3 Federal Question ☐ i U.S. Government of Business In This State (U.S. Government Not a Party) Plaintiff \square z \square 2 Incorporated and Principal Place Citizen of Another State ☐ 4 Diversity ☐ 2 U.S. Government of Business In Another State (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in item III) Defendant □ 6 □3 □3 Foreign Nation Citizen or Subject of a Foreign Country NATURE OF SUIT (Place An "X" In One Box Only) OTHER STATUTES FORFETTURE/PENALTY BANKRUPTCY TORES CONTRACT ☐ 422 Appeal 28 USC 158 400 State Reapportionment 610 Agriculture PERSONAL INJURY ☐ 110 Insurance PERSONAL INJURY A10 Antimist 423 Withdrawal ☐ 620 Other Food & Dring 362 Personal Injury— ☐ 120 Marine 310 Airplane 430 Banks and Banking ☐ 625 Drug Related Seizure 28 USC 157 Med. Malpractice 🔲 130 Miller Acr ☐ 315 Airplane Product 450 Commerce ☐ 365 Personal Injury of Property 21 USC 881 Liability ☐ 140 Negotiable Instrument ☐ 460 Deportation 630 Liquor Laces 150 Recovery of Overpayment Product Liability ☐ 520 Assault, Libel & PROPERTY RIGHTS 1 470 Racketeer Influenced and ☐ 368 Asbestos Personal ☐ 640 R.R. & Truck A. Enforcement of Judgmont Slander Corrupt Organizations A50 Airline Regs. Injury Product Liability ☐ 151 Medicare Act 330 Federal Employers 480 Consumer Cicdit 820 Copyrights ☐ 660 Occupational ☐ 152 Recovery of Defaulted Liability 490 Cable/Sat TV PERSONAL PROPERTY 830 Patent Safety/Health 340 Marine Student Loans 840 Frademark ☐ 810 Selective Scryicc ☐ 690 Other 370 Other Fraud 345 Marioe Product (Excl. Vererans) ☐ 850 Securities/Commodifies/ 371 Truth in Lending. Liability ☐ 153 Recovery of Overpayment Exchange SOCIAL SECURITY LABOR ☐ 380 Other Personal 350 Motor Vehicle of Voteran's Benefits ■ 875 Customer Challenge 710 Fair Labor Standards 355 Motor Vehicle Property Damage ☐ 160 Stockholders Suits 12 USC 3410 861 BBA (13950) ☐ 385 Property Damage Act 190 Other Contract Product Liability ☐ 890 Other Statutory Actions ☐ 867 Black Lung (923) Product Liability ☐ 720 Labor/Mgmt Relations ☐ 360 Other Personal Injury ☐ 195 Contract Product Liability S63 DWC/DIWW (405(g)) 891 Agricultural Acts 730 Labor/Mgmt. Reporting ☐ 196 Franchise 864 SSID Title XVI ■ 892 Rennomic Stabilization Act & Disclosure Act 2 893 Environmental Motters ☐ 865 R51 (405(g)) PRISONER PETITIONS 740 Raiheny Labor Act CIVIL RIGHTS REAL PROPERTY 894 Energy Allocation Act ☐ 790 Other Labor Latigation 510 Motions to Vacate 210 Land Condennation Voting 895 Freedom of 791 Empl. Ret. Inc. Sentence Employment 220 Foreclosure FEDERAL TAX SUITS Information Act Security Act 230 Rent Lease & Equipment 43 Housing/ Habyas Corpus 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaimiff 900 Appeal of Fee Determination 530 General Accommodations 240 Torts to Land Under Equal Access to Justice or Defendant 535 Denth Penalty 245 Tort Product Liability 🗂 444 Welfare 950 Constitutionality of 871 IRS Third Party 540 Mandamus & Other 445 Amer w/Disabilities 290 All Other Real Property State Statutes 26 USC 7609 🔲 550 Civil Rights **Employment** 44/ Amer w/Disabilities -☐ SSS Prison Condition Other 440 Other Civil Rights Appeal to District (Place An "X" In One Box Only) 20 RIGIN Judge from ☐ 3 Remanded from Transferred from Multidistrict Reinstated of Magistrate Removed from □ 6 riginal 4 another district Litigation Appellate Court Reopened Indement State Court rocceding (specify) lite The Us Civil Statute under which you are filing. (Do Not Cite Jurisdictional Statues Unless Diversity): Title VII. 42 USC §1981 VI. CAUSE OF ACTION Brief description: Wrongful Discharge in violation of Title VII, §1981, and analogous state statutes CHECK YES only if de complaint: VII. REQUESTED IN ☐ CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION DEMAND In excess of \$300,000 □ NO JURY DEMAND: COMPLAINT: UNDER F.R.C.P. 23 VIII. RELATED CASE(S) (See instructions) 06-073872-CD Gene Schnelz DOCKET NUMBER IF ANY JUIDGE DATE May 26, 2006 FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

JUDGE

APPLYING IFP

AMOUNT

RECEIPT #

MAG JUDGE

2:06-cv-12392-LPZ-**\$-Diresu โกต**ะาซ์ Locallesbor/2สปฏิ 8 (B)(เริ่ม) of 12 Pg ID 12

IS THIS A CASE THAT HAS BEEN PREVIOUSLY DISCONTINUED OR DISMISSED WITHOUT PREJUDICE OR REMANDED TO A STATE COURT?

(b) IF YES GIVE THE FOLLOWING INFORMATION:

CASE NO: 06-609434-CD

	⊠¥€S □N	C
(_	_⊠¥É\$ ∐N	

(b) IF TES GIVE THE POLLOWING HAS ORIGINATION.	ONOE 140.	00-000-10-1-0B
COURT Oakland County Circuit Court	ASSIGNED JUDGE: 0	Gene Schnelz
Case voluntarily dismissed before service made		
PURSUANT TO LOCAL	COURT RULE 8 (b) (3) (ii)	_
(a) OTHER THAN STATED ABOVE, ARE THERE ANY PENDING DISCONTINUED OR DISMISSED COMPANION CASES substantially similar evidence will be offered at trial or the present and the cases arise out of the same transaction ANY OTHER COURT, INCLUDING STATE COURT?	cases in which it appears se same or related parties are	□YES ⊠NO See above
(b) IF YES GIVE THE FOLLOWING INFORMATION:	CASE NO:	
COURT	ASSIGNED JUDGE:	